

1 TONY WEST  
2 Assistant Attorney General  
3 MELINDA HAAG (CA Bar No. 132612)  
4 United States Attorney  
5 JOANN M. SWANSON (CA Bar No. 88143)  
6 Chief, Civil Division  
7 STEVEN J. SALTIEL (CA Bar No. 202292)  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-6996 (Saltiel)  
Facsimile: (415) 436-6748  
e-mail: steven.saltiel@usdoj.gov

9 JOYCE R. BRANDA  
JAMIE A. YABELBERG  
10 JENELLE BEAVERS  
Attorneys, Civil Division  
11 U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 261  
Ben Franklin Station  
12 Washington, D.C. 20044  
Telephone: (202) 514-9472  
13 Facsimile: (202) 514-0280

14 | Attorneys for the United States of America

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

19 UNITED STATES *ex rel.* RICARDO FORGES, )  
et al., ) No. C 08-4986 CRB  
20 Plaintiffs, )  
21 v. )  
22 CV THERAPEUTICS, INC., )  
23 Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
) REQUEST FOR ENLARGEMENT OF  
) TIME TO ELECT OR DECLINE TO  
) INTERVENE; [PROPOSED] ORDER  
FILED UNDER SEAL

The United States of America, through their undersigned counsel of record, hereby  
request that the Court enlarge the extension by fifteen days, until December 15, 2010 the period  
during which the United States may elect to intervene in the above-captioned False Claims Act  
(FCA) *qui tam* action or to notify the Court that it declines to do so, and during which the

1 complaint and other filings shall remain under seal. This is the sixth and final request for an  
 2 extension of the intervention and seal deadline. On June 21, 2010, the United States and Relator  
 3 requested that the Court extend the intervention deadline until January 6, 2011. Also on June 21,  
 4 2010, the Court granted the request but only extended the deadline to October 1, 2010. On  
 5 September 17, 2010 the United States and Relator requested that the Court extend the deadline  
 6 until December 1, 2010, and the Court granted the request.

7 1. This application is being filed *ex parte* pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-10 and the Federal FCA,  
 8 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733, and is not being served on Defendants because the matter currently  
 9 remains under seal pursuant to the FCA and order of this Court. This filing is being served on  
 10 the Relator, Ricardo Forges.

11 2. This action was filed on October 30, 2008 under the *qui tam* provisions of the Federal and  
 12 various State FCAs. Among other things, these provisions authorize private parties (known as  
 13 relators) to file lawsuits alleging FCA violations on behalf of the United States and the States,  
 14 respectively. 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b). Relator alleges violations of twenty separate State FCAs.

15 See Complaint at ¶¶ 97-262.

16 3. In this action Forges, as Relator, alleges, *inter alia*, that Defendant CV Therapeutics, Inc.  
 17 violated the Federal and State FCAs by marketing the drug Ranexa for uses not approved by the  
 18 United States Food and Drug Administration as part of the drug's labeling (known as "off-label  
 19 marketing"). Relator also alleges that Defendant utilized various types of kickbacks to  
 20 physicians and other health care providers to induce them to prescribe Ranexa. Relator alleges  
 21 that the federal health care programs paid claims for Ranexa that were false because they were  
 22 the result of off-label marketing and/or induced by kickbacks.

23 4. On or about April 15, 2009, Defendant CV Therapeutics, Inc. was acquired by Gilead  
 24 Sciences, Inc ("Gilead"). On August 21, 2009, Relator filed a First Amended Complaint which,  
 25 inter alia, included allegations regarding Gilead's acquisition of CV Therapeutics, and added  
 26 Gilead as a party defendant.

27 5. Under 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2), a *qui tam* complaint shall remain under seal for 60 days  
 28 and shall not be served on the defendant until the court so orders. Before a *qui tam* complaint is

1 unsealed and served upon the defendant, the United States must elect whether it will intervene in  
2 and assume prosecution of the action or, instead, elect to decline intervention and permit the  
3 relator to carry on with the action on behalf of the government. 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(4). The  
4 States have similar obligations under the State FCAs. See, e.g., Cal. Gov't. Code §  
5 12652(c)(8)(B). The *qui tam* provisions of the Federal FCA expressly contemplate that motions  
6 for extensions of the 60-day period will be permitted upon a showing of "good cause." 31 U.S.C.  
7 §§ 3730(b)(2) & (3).

8 6. In the present case, the Court has granted five extensions of the seal period, until  
9 December 1, 2010. In its order dated June 23, 2009, the Court also ordered that the seal be  
10 partially lifted to allow the United States, at its option, to disclose the Relator's complaint and  
11 evidence to Defendant.

12 7. The United States has completed its investigation and is close to making an informed  
13 decision on intervention. However, attorneys for the government require a small amount of  
14 additional time to obtain the internal approvals needed before the government's intervention  
15 decision can be filed with the Court. The United States expects that it will be in a position to  
16 make that filing on or before December 15, 2010. Accordingly, the government seeks a fifteen-  
17 day extension of the seal and intervention deadlines in the present case, until December 15, 2010.

18 //  
19 //  
20 //  
21 //  
22 //  
23 //  
24 //  
25 //  
26 //  
27 //  
28 //

1       8. Based on the foregoing, the Government respectfully requests a 15-day extension of the  
2 seal and intervention deadlines in the present case until December 1, 2010. During the extension  
3 period, if granted, the government will obtain the internal approvals needed before the  
4 government's intervention decision can be filed with the Court. With the exception of the partial  
5 lifting of the seal allowing the Government to discuss the Relator's allegations with Defendant,  
6 the United States asks that the case remain under seal for all other purposes.

Respectfully submitted,

**TONY WEST**  
Assistant Attorney General

MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

12 DATED: 11/19, 2010 By: *S. Saltiel*  
13 STEVEN J. SALTIEL  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorneys for United States

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

For good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

19           1. The United States shall have up to and including December 15, 2010, to inform this  
20 Court of its decision whether to intervene in this matter.

21       2. The seal in this case shall remain partially lifted to allow the United States, at its  
22 option, to reveal the existence of this *qui tam* action, and disclose the Relator's complaint and  
23 evidence to Defendant; and

24       3. Except as expressly stated herein, all pleadings and other documents filed in this action  
25 shall remain under seal until further order of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 Dated: Nov. 22, 2010



CHARLES E. DELOACH